CMPMO No.254 of 2007.

2.11.2007. Present: Mr. Pawan Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioners.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and on going through the impugned judgment dated 28th June, 2007 passed by the learned District Judge, Kangra at Dharamshala as well as the order dated 9th April, 2007 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) II, Dharamshala, I feel satisfied that both the Courts below while exercising their jurisdiction have come to the right conclusion that the respondent-plaintiff was entitled to the discretionary relief of temporary injunction looking to the nature of the allegations made in the plaint qua the co-ownership of the property in question as well as qua the status of its possession.

No interference is called for. The petition is dismissed in limine.

2nd November, 2007. (V.K. Gupta), C.J.

(tr)